



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,637	07/08/2003	Maite Amazorrain	FA038	1434	
75	01/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
The Kline Law Firms, P.C. 14910 Bornner Court Morgan Hill, CA 95037-5925			BASTIANELLI, JOHN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3751	3751	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\subset	7
δ	\mathcal{M}

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/615,637	AMAZORRAIN, MAITE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Bastianelli	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> .					
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r clastian requirement	,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) $oxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[\square accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to b	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/615,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 2, 10a is not pointing to the tip of the valve spindle. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,637 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Grando et al. US 5,979,484.

Grando discloses a motor-operated valve having a valve body 2 with a gas inlet conduit 4, an axial flow conduit (Fig. 1) and a number of gas outlet conduits 6, 8 transverse to said axial flow conduit, a valve spindle 18 sliding linearly along said axial flow conduit and at least one cut-off member 35 provided with a return spring 32 of the lift type coupled to the valve spindle to modulate a gas flow directed to a main outlet conduit, a motor 20 coupled on the valve body for actuating the valve spindle and its axial movement in both directions, a flame safety valve 10 provided with a magnetic assembly (col. 2, lines 1-2) located on one side of the valve body opposite the motor side and in series with the inlet conduit, wherein the valve spindle is seen as a one-piece stem actuated directly by the motor for its linear movement in an initial axial direction, extending from the motor and pushing the safety valve to open it initially, and in a second axial direction, retracting for the raising of at least said modulating cut-off member, safety cut-off means to prevent the flow of gas towards any of the outlet conduits in case the movement of the spindle is locked because of the motor, wherein said cut-off means are housed in this central flow conduit and are drawn by the spindle, in a position between the inlet conduit and the outlet

Art Unit: 3751

conduits, whereby in its forward movement the spindle is separated from the safety magnetic assembly and, as the flame safety valve is open, the flow of gas to any of the outlet conduits is kept shut off. Grando is silent as to the valve spindle being a one-piece stem. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the valve spindle a one piece stem, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893) and as this would reduce assembly time and production costs. A cut-off member 14 rests on a valve seat 12 whereby the spindle is separated from the magnetic assembly as the safety valve is open (Fig. 4) and the cut-off member is drawn by the valve spindle irrespective of the cut-off member and by means of a return spring 16. Grando is silent as to the compression coefficient of the springs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the return spring have a compression coefficient lower than the spring of the cutoff member in order to have one be able to overpower the other. The cut-off member is seen as a flat disc 37 and encircled by an O-ring 35.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shah, Wakefield, Budzich, Puttfarcken, and Follett disclose safety valves in combination with actuating valves.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

IB (

January 18, 2005